

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Ed Orrett

**Date Submitted:** 02/02/2022 11:49 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0829-S1

**Comments for Public Posting:** Re agenda Item 30. I am opposed to this particular housing project. It's configuration and purpose have changed over the years to something that is neither good for Venice nor seemingly effective for reducing homelessness. The Venice community currently hosts many homeless housing projects that seem effective. This project however is huge, yet provides little for the homeless situation. This project will complicate beach parking and access – why would city officials sabotage one of the City's best tourist attractions by making a difficult parking situation more difficult. Why avoid an EIR to see just what impact this project will have on the area? Lastly, I don't question Councilmember Bonin's intentions, but he seems to consider that Venice is the only area in his district where homeless should be housed. Apparently Venice has run out of low impact solutions and now it is this expensive, ugly, inefficient project with questionable benefits for the homeless that we've arrived at. I oppose this project.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Tracy Carpenter  
**Date Submitted:** 02/02/2022 01:24 PM  
**Council File No:** 21-0829-S1

**Comments for Public Posting:** I was on that call on Feb 2, 2022 for nearly 2 hours and was not called on to speak. Here are my comments: Tracy Carpenter, Item 30 With land value, \$1,000,000 per unit is a gross misuse of public funds that could be spent more efficiently to help more people. Spending all of the money to help a tiny percentage of people, while leaving the vast majority to languish on the streets, is NOT compassion, it is NOT inclusion – it's corruption! With developers set to profit \$60,000 per unit, like they are for other projects, it makes you wonder who else has their hand in the cookie jar. This must be rejected for a litany of reasons: exemptions from environmental review, the consolidation of 40 lots, illegal project entitlements, displacing low income Venice residents, reduced beach access, imposing design, outrageously expensive, VCH's buildings are extremely dangerous, as police/fire records show, and planning commissioner Helen Leung should have recused herself as she has a well-documented working relationship with VCH through her non-profit, LA Mas. Let the LA City Council illegal vote trading STOP TODAY! Vote no!